

Potter, Ben

From: Tanburn, Sarah
Sent: 08 June 2015 16:39
To: Ridge, Julian
Cc: Potter, Ben; Mercer, David; Ferris, Neil; Clarke, Tony(Transport Planning); Bradley, Andrew; Carter, David; Page, Matthew; Simpson, Shirley
Subject: RE: Emailing: Director Decision Sheet - DCS58 - Burdyke Avenue - Improvement Scheme 020215.docx.pdf

Julian,

I do not appear to have replied to this, for which I apologise.

I am content for this to proceed if it hasn't already.

Please could you ensure Shirley gets copied into such requests as she is essential in the process of keeping track and recording properly.

Sarah

-----Original Message-----

From: Ridge, Julian
Sent: 12 May 2015 11:54
To: Tanburn, Sarah
Cc: Potter, Ben; Mercer, David; Ferris, Neil; Clarke, Tony(Transport Planning); Bradley, Andrew; Carter, David; Page, Matthew
Subject: FW: Emailing: Director Decision Sheet - DCS58 - Burdyke Avenue - Improvement Scheme 020215.docx.pdf

Dear Sarah,

You may remember the Burdyke Avenue scheme which we discussed at a Decision Session in early February (the paper and decision sheet are attached so you can refresh your memory if need be).

The decision was to press ahead with the scheme - but to delay implementation, and particularly advertising the proposed TRO, until June. You also asked whether it was possible to make the layby longer (though this isn't recorded on the decision sheet). Subsequent to our meeting, we made further utilities investigations and discovered that there is a large electric cable which crosses the verge/footway immediately to the east of where the proposed layby finishes. It isn't possible to extend the layby west, as doing this would conflict with traffic turning in and out of St Philip's Grove. As a result of these factors, the engineers have concluded that it is not possible to provide a longer layby without incurring an unacceptable cost increase.

Consequently, I would like your agreement (or otherwise) on two aspects of the scheme:

1. Are you content to go ahead with the scheme as it is shown in Annex C of the attached paper, given that it isn't possible to have a longer layby (my view as project sponsor is that this is acceptable)?
2. Are you content for us to commence implementation now, specifically advertising the TRO and contacting the utilities companies to arrange stats redirections?

Please could you let me know? I'm happy to discuss any of the above.

Best wishes,

Julian

Julian Ridge | Programme Manager, Better Bus Area Project
t: 01904 552435 | m: 07825 843609 | e: julian.ridge@york.gov.uk

City of York Council | Sustainable Transport Service West Offices, Station Rise |
York | YO1 6GA www.york.gov.uk | facebook.com/cityofyork | @CityofYork

-----Original Message-----

From: Potter, Ben

Sent: 11 May 2015 15:03

To: Ridge, Julian

Subject: Emailing: Director Decision Sheet - DCS58 - Burdyke Avenue -
Improvement Scheme 020215.docx.pdf

Hi Julian,

Director decision sheet for Burdyke Ave attached.

I think just an email to Sarah noting we have look into the extension of the layby and due to the location of the 33kv cable it is not possible once we've covered that I can start ordering the stats diversions.

Cheers,

Ben Potter | Engineer

t: 01904 553496 | e: ben.potter@york.gov.uk

City of York Council | Transport Projects
Hazel Court Eco Depot | James Street | York YO10 3DS